



Docket No.: NHL-SCT-19 US
Serial No.: 09/758,952

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

EXAMINER: Elizabeth A. Bolden
ART UNIT: 1755
SERIAL NO.: 09/758,952
FILING DATE: January 11, 2001
INVENTOR: Dr. Ulrich PEUCHERT and Dr. Peter BRIX
TITLE: A FLAT PANEL LIQUID-CRYSTAL DISPLAY SUCH AS
FOR A LAPTOP

RECEIVED
MAY 0 7 2003
GROUP 1700

Greensburg, Pennsylvania 15601

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendments
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

May 2, 2003

SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDMENT

Sir:

In further response to the Examiner's Action dated December 19, 2002, identified as Paper Number 12, which was issued against the above-identified patent application, please enter the following Supplemental Amendment.

This Second Supplemental Amendment is to be considered in conjunction with the Supplemental Amendment filed on March 27, 2003 and the Amendment of March 19, 2003. However, for the convenience of the Examiner, all of the amendments to the drawings, specification and claims made in the Amendment of March 19, 2003, and the Supplemental Amendment of March 27, 2003, are shown herein in addition to any new amendments to the drawings, specification and claims. Additionally, for the convenience of the Examiner, all of the remarks set forth in the Amendment of March 19, 2003, and the Supplemental Amendment

of March 27, 2003, are also set forth herein in addition to any new remarks. Therefore, for the convenience of the Examiner, there would appear to be no need for the Examiner to seriously consider the Amendment of March 19, 2003, and the Supplemental Amendment of March 27, 2003, because, essentially, all of the substantive remarks and amendments set forth in the Amendment of March 19, 2003, and in the Supplemental Amendment of March 27, 2003, are incorporated herein.

Specifically, this Second Supplemental Amendment is being filed to delete portions of the arguments relating to the transition temperatures (T_g) taught by the Narita reference, which arguments were originally presented in the Amendment of March 19, 2003, and resubmitted in the first Supplemental Amendment of March 27, 2003. Specifically, those arguments indicated that none of the transition temperatures (T_g) taught by the Narita reference were within the ranges recited in the claims. However, this conclusion was based on some erroneous calculations, and upon further review was determined to be incorrect. Therefore, those arguments have not been included in this Second Supplemental Amendment to facilitate examination.

In the Claims:

Please cancel Claims 14-16, 18-19, and 21-33, without prejudice.

Below is a clean copy of independent Claim 17 and Claims 34-41 dependent therefrom. The dependent Claims 34-41 substantially correspond to former dependent Claims 18 and 19.